

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/689,565 | UNDERBRINK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ARISTOCRATIS FOTAKIS | 2611 | |

All Participants:

(1) ARISTOCRATIS FOTAKIS.

(2) Roberto Capriotti.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2010

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants Representative agreed to correct minor informalities on the claims so as to advance prosecution of the application

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aristocratis Fotakis/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)